Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,369	POTTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) Roberta L. Robins.	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 2 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 11-13, 15, 16, 29-36, 38-45	<i>†</i>	· ·
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of 	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		1
2 Un		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant to inform them that the claims would be in condition for allowance if claims11-13, 15, 16, and, 38-45 were amended to read on polynucleotides encoding - - multiple epitope fusion polypeptides- - rather than "multiple epitopes fusion polypeptides" (to maintain consistency between the specification and the claims), and if claims 29-36 were amended to read on isolated cells to clarify that the host cells were not part of a human organism. The Applicant agreed to the amendments and indicated that an Examiner's Amendment making the suggested changes would be acceptable.